arXiv:1404.7305v1 [math.AG] 29 Apr 2014

ALGEBRAIC VERSUS HOMOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE FOR SINGULAR VARIETIES

Vincenzo Di Gennaro, Davide Franco, Giambattista Marini

Abstract. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a possibly singular projective variety, defined over the field of complex numbers. Let X be the intersection of Y with h general hypersurfaces of sufficiently large degrees. Let $d > 0$ be an integer, and assume that $\dim Y = n + h$ and $\dim Y_{\rm sing} \leq \min\{d+h-1,\, n-1\}$. Let Z be an algebraic cycle on Y of dimension $d+h$, whose homology class in $H_{2(d+h)}(Y;\mathbb{Q})$ is non-zero. In the present paper we prove that the restriction of Z to X is not algebraically equivalent to zero. This is a generalization to the singular case of a result due to Nori in the case Y is smooth. As an application we provide explicit examples of singular varieties for which homological equivalence is different from the algebraic one.

Key words: Projective variety; algebraic cycle; algebraic equivalence; homological equivalence; singularity; Chow variety; Hilbert scheme; Connectivity Theorem.

MSC2010: 14C05, 14C15, 14C25, 14F17, 14F43, 14F45, 14J17, 14M10.

§ 0. Introduction.

In 1910, in order to prove the so called "fundamental theorem of irregular surfaces", Poincaré introduced its famous *normal functions* [P], [Mu, p. 9], [C, p. 73]. Using which and Noether-Lefschetz Theory, in 1969 Griffiths provided the first examples of smooth projective varieties having homologically trivial cycles that are not algebraically equivalent to zero. They follow from the following Theorem [G]. *Let Y be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n+1 = 2r \geq 4$, $Z \neq 0 \in H_{2r}(Y;\mathbb{Q})$ be an algebraic cycle on Y of codimension r, and X be a general hypersurface section of Y of degree $\gg 0$. Then $Z \cap X$ is not algebraically trivial on X.* A very striking result if we think that in 1958 Severi believed that *"... l'equivalenza topologica; la quale però forse coincide con l'equivalenza algebrica, a meno di divisori dello zero. Così accade di fatto per le curve di una superficie"* [S, p. 46]. Next other special examples were found by Ceresa [Ce], and Ceresa and Collino [CC]. A deep improvement of Griffiths' result was made in 1993 by Nori [N, Theorem 1]. With a new argument, based on his celebrated Connectivity Theorem, he proved that on a smooth projective variety homological and algebraic equivalence do not coincide in a wider range, where Griffiths' methods do not work. All these results concern smooth varieties, but the notion of algebraic equivalence, and more generally a good part of the theory of algebraic cycles, can be formulated also for singular varieties [Fu]. So it seems to us of some interest to investigate such questions also in the singular case.

Recently, by a suitable modification of Griffiths' argument, relying on Intersection Homology Theory and on a monodromy result for singular varieties [DF1] (see also [DF2], [DF3]), it has been shown that Griffiths' Theorem holds true also when the ambient variety Y has isolated singularities [DFM]. Continuing in this direction, in the present paper we prove the following generalization of the quoted theorem [N, Theorem 1] to the case where Y and its general hypersurface sections are singular.

Theorem 1. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety (possibly singular) of dimension $n+h$, defined over the field of complex numbers, and let $d > 0$ be an integer. Assume $\dim Y_{\rm sing} \leq \min\{d+h-1,\, n-1\}$. Then there exists an integer c such that for any $a_i \geq c$, general sections $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)|$, and for any algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y)$ with non-trivial homology class $cl(Z) \in H_{2(d+h)}(Y;\mathbb{Q})$, the restriction of the class $[Z] \in CH_{d+h}(Y)$ to $X := \bigcap_{i=1}^{h} X_i$ in $CH_d(X)$ is not algebraically equivalent to zero.*

As an application of the theorem above we provide a class of examples of singular varieties where homological and algebraic equivalence do not coincide (cfr. section § 3).

Our argument uses a desingularization $f : \widetilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ of Y. This leads us to consider a lifting $\widetilde{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(\widetilde{Y})$ of Z, namely a cycle satisfying $f_*(\widetilde{Z}) = Z$. The idea of the proof consists of a analysis of the

consequences of [N, Theorem 1] for the desingularization while taking f_*. Roughly speaking, on one hand such a cycle $\widetilde{Z}$ cannot be algebraically supported on the exceptional divisor E, on the other hand, arguing by contradiction, up to conclude that it is not algebraically trivial down on $X \cap Y_{\text{smooth}}$, can be universally assembled as a cycle supported on E.

Aside with the above technical point, as we work with the pair $(\widetilde{Y}, E)$, we need to prove two slight generalizations of Nori's Theorem itself in the smooth case. As the reader can image one of them is essentially a pair version (Theorem 16), the other one concerns the difficulty that one needs to work with line bundles such as $f^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(a)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}}(D)$ (with D fixed, supported on the exceptional divisor), which are not exactly the same as sufficiently ample line bundles on $\widetilde{Y}$ (see Theorem 2 below).

The paper is organized as follows. In section § 1 we prove Theorem 2; in section § 2 we prove Theorem 1; in section § 3, as an application of Theorem 1, we provide explicit examples of singular varieties for which homological equivalence is different from the algebraic one.

§ 1. A remark on Nori's Theorem.

In this section we deal with a technical point we shall meet in the body of proof of our Theorem 1 for singular varieties: we want to achieve a statement (cfr. Theorem 2 below) which is a slight generalization of [N, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 (a)] in the smooth case. Roughly speaking, such statement says that the constant appearing in the Connectivity Theorem [N, Theorem 4], works unchanged also if we tensor each $\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)$ (cfr. Theorem 1) with a globally generated invertible sheaf $\mathcal{G}_i$.

Theorem 2. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n+h$ defined over the field of complex numbers. Let $\mathcal{G}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_h$ be invertible sheaves on Y generated by global sections. Then there exists an integer c such that the following holds: for $d > r \geq 0$, $a_1, \ldots, a_h \geq c$, $g_i \geq 0$, general sections $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i) \otimes \mathcal{G}_i^{\otimes g_i}|$, and for any algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y)$ with non-trivial homology class $c\ell(Z) \in H_{2(d+h)}(Y; \mathbb{Q})$, the restriction of the class $[Z] \in CH_{d+h}(Y)$ to $X := \bigcap_{i=1}^{h} X_i$ in $CH_d(X)$, does not belong to $A_r CH_d(X)$.*

We refer to [N, p. 364] for the definition of the group $A_r CH_d(X)$. Here we only recall that $A_0 CH_d(X) = CH_d(X)_{\text{alg}}$.

Using the same argument as in [N, p. 366] (compare also with [Gre, pp. 79-92], and [V, pp. 238-240]), previous theorem follows from a corresponding adapted version of the quoted Connectivity Theorem. Following [N], [Gre] and [V], put $S = \prod_{i=1}^{h} \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(a_i) \otimes \mathcal{G}^{\otimes g_i}))$, $A = Y \times S$, $B = \{(y, f_1, \ldots, f_h) \,|\, f_i(y) = 0 \ \forall i \ \} \subset A$, and $A_T = A \times_S T$ and $B_T = B \times_S T$ for any base change $T \to S$.

Theorem 3. *With the above notation, for any number c, there is a number $N(c)$ such that if $a_i \geq N(c)$ for all i, then $H^k(A_T, B_T; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $k \leq 2n$ and any smooth morphism $T \to S$.*

Since Mixed Hodge Theory involved in the Nori's proof of Connectivity Theorem applies with no change in our more general context, the proof of previous theorem reduces to the following vanishing (compare with [Gre, loc. cit.]). Fix ample invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_Y(A_1), \ldots, \mathcal{O}_Y(A_h)$, and globally generated invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_Y(G_1), \ldots, \mathcal{O}_Y(G_h)$. Given integers $a_1, \ldots, a_h \geq 0$, $g_1, \ldots, g_h \geq 0$, set

$$E := \oplus_{i=1}^{h} \mathcal{O}_Y(a_i A_i + g_i G_i),$$

and let M_E be defined by the exact sequence $0 \to M_E \to H^0(Y, E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \to E \to 0$.

Theorem 4. *For any integer $c \geq 0$ and any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on Y, there is a constant $n_0 = n_0(\mathcal{F}, c)$ such that if $a_i \geq n_0$ for all i, then for any $g_i \geq 0$, $a > 0$, $b > 0$ one has $H^a(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b} \otimes M_E^{\otimes c}) = 0$.*

In order to prove this, we need the following slight generalized version of the well-known Serre Vanishing Theorem [H, p.228].

Lemma 5. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety, $\mathcal{A}$ an ample invertible sheaf, $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf and $\mathcal{G}$ be an invertible sheaf generated by global sctions. Then there exists an integer n_0 not depending on $\mathcal{G}$ such that*

$$H^i(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}) \quad = \quad 0\,, \qquad \forall\ i \geq 0\,,\ n \geq n_0.$$

Proof. There exists n_0', not depending on $\mathcal{G}$, such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}$ is ample for $n \geq n_0'$ (compare with [H, p. 169, Exercise 7.5, (a) and (b)]). Therefore $H^i(Y, \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}) = H^i(Y, \mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_Y) = 0$ for $i > 0$, $n \geq n_0'$, by Kodaira Vanishing Theorem [H, p.248] (here we need Y smooth). We now fix an embedding $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. As $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent there exists a resolution as follows

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(d_l) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{R}$ coherent. Tensoring with $\mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}$ we get the exact sequence

$$\oplus H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(d_l) \otimes \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^i(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(Y, \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{G}).$$

Then we are done because the left term vanishes for $n \geq n_0''$ (not depending on $\mathcal{G}$), and the right term vanishes for $n \geq n_0(\mathcal{R}, i+1)$ (here we use descending induction on i).

□

We are in position to prove Theorem 4, hence Theorem 3 and Theorem 2.

Proof (of Theorem 4). We follow the same argument as in [Gre, pp 79, 80]. Denote by $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_c$ the projections $Y^{c+1} \to Y$ and set

$$\mathcal{E} := p_1^*(E) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_c^*(E).$$

As in [Gre] one may construct a coherent sheaf Z^0 on Y^{c+1}, depending only on c, and flat over Y via p_0, such that

$$(*) \qquad {p_0}_*(Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}) = M_E^{\otimes c}.$$

Hence also

$$p_0^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b}) \otimes Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

is a coherent sheaf on Y^{c+1}, flat over Y via p_0. When restricting it on the fibers $j_y : Y^c \subset Y^{c+1}$ of p_0, we get a direct sum of sheaves like

$$\left[j_y^*(p_0^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes Z^0)\right] \otimes [q_1^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(a_{i_1}A_{i_1} + g_{i_1}G_{i_1})) \otimes \cdots \otimes q_c^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(a_{i_c}A_{i_c} + g_{i_c}G_{i_c}))]$$

with $i_1, \ldots, i_c \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ (where q_j denotes the j-projection $Y^c \to Y$). Applying Lemma 5 to the variety Y^c we deduce that the cohomology of these sheaves vanishes, and therefore

$$R^a {p_0}_* \left(p_0^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b}) \otimes Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}\right) = 0$$

for any $a > 0$ [H, p. 290, Thm. 12.11]. By [H, p.252, Ex. 8.1], the projection formula [H, p. 123-124, Ex. 5.1, (d)], and (*), we deduce

$$H^a(Y^{c+1}, p_0^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b}) \otimes Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}) = H^a(Y, {p_0}_* \left(p_0^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b}) \otimes Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}\right)) = H^a(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b} \otimes M_E^{\otimes c}).$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4 because, again by previous Lemma 5, we know that

$$H^a(Y^{c+1}, p_0^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes E^{\otimes b}) \otimes Z^0 \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0 .$$

□

§ 2. The proof of Theorem 1.

We start with a first easy reduction.

Remark 6. It suffices to consider the case where Z is chosen a priori. In fact, on one hand our constant c shall not depend on Z, on the other hand there are countable many algebraic families of cycles (so the general X works for all of them).

Notation 7. For the rest of this section, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ and Z denote respectively a (possibly singular) projective variety and a cycle as in the statement of Theorem 1. Denote by ${Z_i}^+$ the irreducible components of Z which appear in Z with positive multiplicity ${n_i}^+ > 0$, and by ${Z_i}^-$ the irreducible components of Z which appear with negative multiplicity $-{n_i}^- < 0$, so that $Z^+ := \sum {n_i}^+ {Z_i}^+$ and $Z^- := \sum {n_i}^- {Z_i}^-$ are effective, and $Z = Z^+ - Z^-$. For a sequence of integers a_1, ..., a_h we consider the cartesian product

$\times|\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)|$ and the corresponding family $|X|$ of intersections $X = \bigcap X_i$, where $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)|$. We let $\Omega \subseteq \times\mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)))$ be the open subset of parameters corresponding to n-dimensional complete intersections X in Y (in particular, for such X's, the inclusion $X \subset Y$ is a regular imbedding of codimension h ([Fu], p. 437)), which intersect properly each ${Z_i}^{\pm}$ in a subvariety $X \cap {Z_i}^{\pm}$ of dimension d. By [Fu, Chapters 2 and 7] we know that, for any $t \in \Omega$, the restriction of the class $[Z] \in CH_{d+h}(Y)$ to X_t in $CH_d(X_t)$ is represented by a well defined cycle, which we will denote by $X_t \cap Z \in \mathcal{Z}_d(X_t)$.

Next lemma is fundamental for our purposes. It should be well known to experts, nonetheless we did not succeed in finding an appropriate reference, therefore we prove it (compare with [V2, Lemma 3.2]).

Lemma 8. *The locus Ω_0 of parameters $t \in \Omega$ where the restriction of the class $[Z] \in CH_{d+h}(Y)$ to X_t in $CH_d(X_t)$ is algebraically trivial, is a countable union of closed algebraic subsets of Ω.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z}_+(Y)$ be the Chow variety parametrizing effective cycles of Y, and let $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y))$ be the Hilbert scheme of $\mathcal{Z}_+(Y)$ ([Ser], [K], [Fr], [E], [PK], [LF]). We will adopt similar notation for X_t. For each $h \in \mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y))$, let $C_h \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_+(Y)$ be the corresponding subscheme. Let $\Gamma_Y^0 := \{h \in \mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y)) : h^0(C_h, \mathcal{O}_{C_h}) = 1\}$. By Semicontinuity Theorem [H, p. 288] we know that Γ_Y^0 is open in $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y))$. Denote by Γ_Y the closure of Γ_Y^0 in $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y))$. By the Principle of Connectedness [H, p. 281, Exercise 11.4] we deduce that C_h is connected, for any $h \in \Gamma_Y$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the closed subset of $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y)) \times \mathcal{Z}_+(Y) \times \Omega$ formed by those triples (h, W, t) such that $h \in \Gamma_Y \cap \mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(X_t))$, $W \in \mathcal{Z}_+(X_t)$, and such that $(X_t \cap Z^+) + W$ and $(X_t \cap Z^-) + W$ belong to $C_h \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_+(X_t)$. Let p be the projection $\mathrm{Hilb}(\mathcal{Z}_+(Y)) \times \mathcal{Z}_+(Y) \times \Omega \to \Omega$. Since p is projective, and $\mathcal{I}$ is closed, then also $p(\mathcal{I})$ is closed. As we have $p(\mathcal{I}) = \Omega_0$ [Fu, Example 10.3.3, p. 186], then we are done. □

As a consequence of previous lemma, the proof of Theorem 1 reduces to the following result.

Claim 9. There exists $t \in \Omega$ such that the restriction of $[Z] \in CH_{d+h}(Y)$ to X_t in $CH_d(X_t)$ is not algebraically equivalent to zero.

We consider a desingularization

$$f : \tilde{Y} \longrightarrow Y$$

and we let $U := Y \smallsetminus Y_{\mathrm{sing}}$ be the smooth locus of Y and $E \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ denote the exceptional divisor. By abuse of notation we consider U also as the open subset $\tilde{Y} \smallsetminus E$. There is a commutative diagram with exact rows ([Fu], p. 21):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_*} & \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(\tilde{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}^*} & \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow = & & \\ \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y_{\mathrm{sing}}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$, $i : Y_{\mathrm{sing}} \hookrightarrow Y$, $\tilde{j} : U \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y}$, $\tilde{i} : E \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y}$ denote the natural inclusions.

We note that $\mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y_{\mathrm{sing}}) = 0$ for dimensional reason, so by the diagram one has that:

$$\exists\ \tilde{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(\tilde{Y}) \ \mid\ f_*(\tilde{Z}) = Z \tag{11}$$

which is defined up to elements in $\tilde{i}_*\big(\mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(E)\big)$. We fix such a $\tilde{Z}$.

Next, the idea is that one to apply Theorem 16 below. So, we premise a few considerations.

We let H be a hyperplane section of $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$. Then there exists a divisor D supported on the exceptional divisor E and $a_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f^*(aH) + D \text{ is very ample on } \tilde{Y} \text{ for all } a \geq a_0\,.$$

We fix such D and a_0. Considering generic sections

$$\tilde{X}_i \in |\, f^*(a_i H) + D_i \,| \tag{12}$$

with $D_i = a_i' D$ (any $a_i' > 0$) and $a_i \geq a_0 a_i'$ (note the linear system above is still very ample) and their images $X_i = f(\tilde{X}_i)$, which we might note to be sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i H)$ (in particular they are Cartier divisors), we put

$$\tilde{X} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{h} \tilde{X}_i \quad , \qquad X = f(\tilde{X}) .$$

As $\tilde{X}$ is n-dimensional and meets the exceptional divisor in dimension $n-1$ (hence the generic point of $\tilde{X}$ is not contained in E), the generic point of X does not belong to Y_{sing}. Furthermore, since $\dim Y_{\text{sing}} \leq n-1$, then one has the equality $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{h} X_i$ (in fact, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{h} X_i$ cannot have components of dimension greater or equal than n contained in Y_{sing}). Summing up, for a general $\tilde{X}$ as above, we have that $X := f(\tilde{X})$ is parametrized for some $t \in \Omega$. As $\tilde{X}$ is general, it meets $\tilde{Z}$ transversally and $\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}$ is a well-defined cycle in $\mathcal{Z}_d(\tilde{X})$, which represents the restriction of the class $[\tilde{Z}] \in CH_{d+h}(\tilde{Y})$ in $CH_d(\tilde{X})$. We also have $f_*(\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}) = X \cap Z$.

Defining $U_{_X} = \tilde{X} \smallsetminus E = X \smallsetminus Y_{\text{sing}}$, there is a diagram analog to diagram (10) ([Fu], 10.3.4, p. 186)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CH_d(E \cap \tilde{X})/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_*} & CH_d(\tilde{X})/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}^*} & CH_d(U_{_X})/\text{alg} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow = & & \\ CH_d(Y_{\text{sing}} \cap X)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & CH_d(X)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & CH_d(U_{_X})/\text{alg} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

As we already remarked, since $X = \cap X_i$, and the X_i are Cartier divisors, one has a well-defined cycle $X \cap Z \in \mathcal{Z}_d(X)$ satisfying $f_*(\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}) = X \cap Z$.

Remark 13. At this stage, excluding (by contradiction) that $X \cap Z \notin CH_d(X)_{\text{alg}}$, one would obtain $j^*([X \cap Z]) = 0$ and, in turn, from the diagram above one would infer that

$$\tilde{j}^*\big([\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}]\big) \quad \in \quad CH_d(U_{_X})_{\text{alg}}. \tag{13'}$$

Therefore, there would exist $W_{_X} \in \mathcal{Z}_d(E \cap \tilde{X})$ such that $\tilde{i}_*\big(W_{_X}\big) \sim_{\text{alg}} \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}$ in $\mathcal{Z}_d(\tilde{X})$, i.e. $\tilde{i}_*\big([W_{_X}]\big) = [\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}] \in CH_d(\tilde{X})/\text{alg}$. Then, as it will be clear from the "End of proof" below, to deduce the existence of some X_t as in the Claim 9, the problem would reduce, roughly speaking, to that one to lift the cycle $W_{_X}$ to a cycle $W \in CH_{d+h}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This achievement, mutatis mutandi, shall be the core of the "pair version" Theorem 16 (stated and proved at the end of this section).

Proof. (End of proof of Theorem 1 using the "pair version" Theorem 16 below).
In view of diagram (10), which passes through modulo Borel-Moore homology equivalence ([Fu, p. 371], [Fu2, p. 211]), one sees that the cycle $\tilde{Z}$ as in (11) has non-trivial Borel-Moore homology class in $H^{BM}_{2(d+h)}(U; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus we are in position to apply Theorem 16 to our triple $(\tilde{Y}, E, \tilde{Z})$. Namely

$$c\ell(Z) \underset{(hp\ thm\ 1)}{\neq} 0 \in H_{2(d+h)}(Y; \mathbb{Q})) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad c\ell(\tilde{Z}) \underset{(hp\ thm\ 16)}{\neq} 0 \in H^{BM}_{2(d+h)}(U; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Applying Theorem 16 one infers that there exists a constant c such that, for $a_i' \geq c$, $d > 0$, $g_i \geq 0$ and general sections

$$\tilde{X}_i \quad \in \quad |\, a_i'\big(f^*(a_0 H) + D\big) + g_i f^*(H)\,|, \tag{14}$$

the restriction of $[\tilde{Z}]$ to $U_{_X}$ is not algebraically equivalent to zero in $\mathcal{Z}_d(U_{_X})$. Observe that the linear systems in (14) are as in (12) for $a_i = a_i' a_0 + g_i$ and $D_i = a_i' D$ and, in turn, for any $a_i \geq a_0 c$. For constants a_i as above, arguing by contradiction, as already remarked, one deduces the existence of some X_t (through the singular locus Y_{sing}, in fact our $\tilde{X}$ cut E) as in the Claim 9. Thus we are done with our reduction.

□

Remark 15. As observed $X_i \in |a_i H|$, using that $[\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Z}] \notin CH_d(\tilde{X})_{\text{alg}}$ we prove a Nori type result for the corresponding $X = \bigcap X_i$ for any $a_i \geq$ "*fixed constant*" $(= a_0 c)$. We want to stress that without using Theorem 16, and in turn without using Theorem 2, one could only reach sequences of integers (namely integers of type $a_i' a_i$ with $a_i' \geq c_i$ with no control of the c_i).

Theorem 16 ("pair version of Nori's theorem"). *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n+h$, and let $E \subseteq Y$ be a simple normal crossing effective divisor. Let $U = Y \smallsetminus E$, and let $d > 0$ be an integer. Then there exists an integer c such that for any $a_i \geq c$, general sections $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i) \otimes \mathcal{G}_i|$ (with the $\mathcal{G}_i$ any globally generated line bundles), and for any algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y)$ with non-trivial Borel-Moore homology class $c\ell(Z) \in H^{BM}_{2(d+h)}(U; \mathbb{Q})$ (i.e. such that $[Z] \notin i_* CH_{d+h}(E) + CH_{d+h}(Y)_{\hom}$), one has that the restriction of $[Z]$ to U_X is not algebraically equivalent to zero in $\mathcal{Z}_d(U_X)$, where $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{h} X_i$, $U_X = X \smallsetminus E$, $i : E \hookrightarrow Y$ and $j : U_X \hookrightarrow X$ denote inclusions.*

Proof. We construct a cycle W (or better, a multiple of it) as in the diagram below thanks to the universal cycle associated with the various W_X.

First we observe that we are free to fix a priori our Z (compare with Remark 6). By Theorem 2 we know that the (Noether-Lefschetz) general X satisfies the following property:

$$\forall\, Z' \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(Y) \;\big|\; Z' \not\sim_{\mathbb{Q}-\hom} 0 \quad \text{one has that} \quad [Z']\,|_X \neq 0 \in CH_d(X)/\text{alg}. \tag{17}$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} [W] & \in & CH_{d+h}(E)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & CH_{d+h}(Y)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & CH_{d+h}(U)/\text{alg} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cap X & & \downarrow \cap X & & \downarrow \cap X & & \\ & & CH_d(X \cap E)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & CH_d(X)/\text{alg} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & CH_d(U_X)/\text{alg} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ [W_X] & & & \mapsto & [Z]\,|_X & \mapsto & \underset{\text{(by contradiction)}}{0} & & \end{array} \tag{18}$$

and observe that, assuming by contradiction the vanishing $j^*([Z]\,|_X) = 0$ for some Z and for a general X, there exists a cycle W_X as in the diagram. In order to conclude, it suffices to lift it to a cycle $W \in \mathcal{Z}_{d+h}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. To see this, argue as follows. The cycle $Z' := Z - W$ satisfies $c\ell(Z') \neq 0 \in H_{2(d+h)}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$, indeed $c\ell(Z')|_U = c\ell(Z)|_U \neq 0$. On the other hand from the diagram one obtains $[Z']|_X \in CH_d(X)_{\text{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, therefore for some integer $m > 0$ one has

$$[mZ']|_X \in CH_d(X)_{\text{alg}}\,.$$

This contradicts (17).

Eventually, we come to the construction of our cycle W. Put

$$\mathcal{Z}_d^{(E)} = \bigcup_{\delta_1,\, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_{\delta_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{\delta_2}\,,$$

where $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ denotes the Chow variety of δ-degree and d dimensional effective cycles supported on E, and where to the point $\sigma = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{\delta_2}$ we associate the cycle $S_\sigma = S_{s_1} - S_{s_2}$, being S_{s_i} the cycle corresponding to $s_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta_i}$. This exhibits $\mathcal{Z}_d^{(E)}$ as a countable union of projective varieties parametrizing d-cycles on E. Denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the Hilbert scheme parametrizing complete intersections in Y of multidegree $(a_1, \ldots, a_h)$, that meet E and Z properly, i.e. with the correct dimension (compare with Notation 7). For $t \in \mathbb{H}$, we denote by X_t the subscheme of Y corresponding to the point t. Then we consider

$$\Sigma_E := \big\{\, (\sigma,\, t) \;\big|\; S_\sigma \in \mathcal{Z}_d(E \cap X_t)\,,\; i_*(S_\sigma) \underset{\substack{\text{alg. equiv.}\\ \text{in } X_t}}{\sim} X_t \cap Z \,\big\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_d^{(E)} \times \mathbb{H}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 8, we see that Σ_E is a countable union of quasi projective varieties, projective over $\mathbb{H}$ via the natural projection $\pi_2 : \Sigma_E \to \mathbb{H}$. Taking into account that we are arguing by contradiction, it follows that $\pi_2 : \Sigma_E \to \mathbb{H}$ is also surjective. As a consequence one has that Σ_E contains a subvariety Σ'_E surjecting onto $\mathbb{H}$. We can fix a pair $(\sigma_o,\, o)$ as follows:

$$(\sigma_o,\, o) \in \Sigma'_E \;\big|\; X_o \text{ is general (w.r.t. 17) and meets } Z \text{ and } E \text{ transversally.}$$

Such S_{σ_o} shall be our W_{X_o} (diagram 18). Let $\mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ be a sufficiently general projective subvariety of $\mathbb{H}$ of dimension h, passing through o (e.g. take, for any $i = 1, \ldots, h$, a general $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(a_i)|$, and define $\mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ as

the image of $\times_{i=1}^{h}\mathbb{P}^1$ in $\mathbb{H}$), and let ${\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \subset \Sigma'_E$ be a h-dimensional irreducible projective subvariety through the point $(\sigma_o,\, o) \in \Sigma'_E$, mapping onto $\mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ via π_2 (so that $\pi_2|_{{\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}} : {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \to \mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ is a generically finite map between projective varieties). Now consider

$$\mathcal{V} \;\; := \;\; \big\{\, (p,\, \sigma,\, t) \;\big|\; (\sigma,\, t) \,\in\, {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)},\; p \,\in\, \mathrm{support}(S_\sigma) \,\big\} \;\; \subseteq \;\; Y \times {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)},$$

and observe that such $\mathcal{V}$ is projective over Y, via the projection $\pi_1 : Y \times {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \to Y$.

Claim 19. For $t \in \mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ the following properties hold:

a) $\dim \mathcal{V} \;=\; d+h$;

b) $\mathcal{V}|_{Y\times\{(\sigma,\,t)\}} \;\; = \;\; S_\sigma$;

c) $(\pi_1)_*\,\mathcal{V}$ is supported on E;

d) $\big[(\pi_1)_*\,\mathcal{V}\big]\big|_{X_t} \;=\; \bigcup_{(\sigma,\,t)\,\in\,{\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}\;|\;\pi_2(\sigma,\,t)\,=\,t} S_\sigma$ (recall that $\pi_2|_{{\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}} : {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \to \mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ is generically finite);

e) for $W \;:=\; \dfrac{1}{m}\,(\pi_1)_*\,\mathcal{V}$ and $m \,=\, \deg\Big(\pi_2|_{{\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}} : {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \to \mathbb{H}^{(h)}\Big)$, one has

$$W \cap X_t \;=\; W_{X_t}\;.$$

The crucial point is the one of proving d). To this purposes, it suffices to observe the following. The family $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{H}^{(h)}}$ contains the family $\{W_{X_t}\}_{t\in\mathbb{H}^{(h)}}$, and it is cut out by X_o in W_{X_o}; on the other hand, since $\mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ is sufficiently general, at a generic point in W_{X_o}, locally in $\big(q,\,(W_{X_o},\,o)\big)$ the family $\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{H}^{(h)}}$ is isomorphic to Y, in other terms π_1 is locally at $\big(q,\,(W_{X_o},\,o)\big)$ an isomorphism. Therefore, for $t \neq o$, the variety X_t meets W_{X_o} transversally (in dimension $d-h$), and the local contribution of $\mathcal{V}$ in $(W_{X_o},\,o) \in {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}$ is W_{X_o}, i.e. there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{W} \subseteq {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}$ of the point $(W_{X_o},\,o)$ such that

$$\pi_1\big(\mathcal{V} \cap \big(Y \times \mathcal{W}\big)\;\big) \bigcap X_o \;\;=\;\; W_{X_o} \tag{20}$$

and the intersection is transverse. We may require that the statement (20) holds locally in $o \in \mathbb{H}^{(h)}$ (via the generically finite morphism ${\Sigma'_E}^{(h)} \to \mathbb{H}^{(h)}$), rather than locally in $(W_{X_o},\,o) \in {\Sigma'_E}^{(h)}$. This concludes our proof.

□

Caution 21. The previous considerations on the universal cycle $\mathcal{V}$ does not imply, as it could seem, the possibility to lift any cycle on a general X_t to Y (namely the surjectivity of the restriction map $CH_{d+h}(Y)/\mathrm{alg}\otimes\mathbb{Q} \to CH_d(X_t)/\mathrm{alg}\otimes\mathbb{Q}$). In our particular context, the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to X_t gives m cycles algebraically equivalent to each other (or better to $X_t \cap Z$), but in general one only knows that such cycles are homologically equivalent [Mo].

§ 3. Example where algebraic and homological equivalence do not coincide.

Fix a subspace S of dimension s in $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}$, with $-1 \le s \le \frac{n+h}{2} - 1$. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_q$ be general subspaces of $\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}$ of dimension $\frac{n+h}{2}$ containing S, spanning $\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}$ (a fortiori $q \ge 2$), and such that $T_i \cap T_j = S$ when $i \neq j$. Let $Y \in |H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}, \mathcal{I}_T(\ell))|$ be a general hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}$ of degree $\ell \gg 0$ containing $T := T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_q$. Observe that $T^0 := T\backslash S$ is smooth of dimension $\frac{n+h}{2}$.

Proposition 22.

1) Y is irreducible, and $Y_{\mathrm{sing}} = S$.

2) $T_1, \ldots, T_q$ are linearly independent in $H_{n+h}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular $c\ell(Z := T_1 - T_2) \neq 0 \in H_{n+h}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$.

3) For a general complete intersection $X \subset Y$ of dimension n, one has $c\ell(Z \cap X) = 0 \in H_{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ $(2d = n - h > 0)$.

Proof. 1) Since the tangent cone to S is the whole projective space $\mathbb{P}$, it suffices to prove that $Y_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq S$. By Bertini's Theorem we know that $Y_{\mathrm{sing}} \subseteq T$. Therefore we only have to check that Y is regular at any point of $T^0 := T\backslash S$. We will follow [OS, proof of Theorem 1.2] (compare also with [KA]).

Set $\Pi := H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}, \mathcal{I}_T(\ell))$, and let $\Sigma \subseteq \Pi \times T^0$ be the set of pairs (f, x) such that $x \in \mathrm{sing}(f)$. For any $x \in T^0$ set $\Pi_x := \Sigma \cap (\Pi \times \{x\})$. Recall that

$$T^*_{x,T^0} = T^*_{x,T} = \mathfrak{m}_{x,\mathbb{P}}/\mathcal{I}_{x,T} + \mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}} = \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{x,\mathbb{P}}/\mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}}}{(\mathcal{I}_{x,T} + \mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}})/\mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}}}.$$

Since Π generates $(\mathcal{I}_{x,T} + \mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}})/\mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}}$, and Π_x maps to zero, we have a natural surjection

$$\Pi/\Pi_x \to (\mathcal{I}_{x,T} + \mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}})/\mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}} \to 0.$$

It follows that

$$\dim T^*_{x,T^0} = \frac{n+h}{2} = n+h+1 - \dim\left((\mathcal{I}_{x,T} + \mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}})/\mathfrak{m}^2_{x,\mathbb{P}}\right) \geq n+h+1 - \dim\Pi + \dim\Pi_x,$$

hence

$$\dim\Pi_x \leq \dim\Pi - \frac{n+h}{2} - 1.$$

Considering the projection $\Sigma \to T^0$, we deduce that

$$\dim\Sigma \leq \dim T^0 + \dim\Pi_x \leq \dim\Pi - 1.$$

Therefore the image of $\Sigma \to \Pi$ has dimension strictly less than $\dim\Pi$.

2) First consider the exact sequence

$$H^{BM}_{n+h+1}(Y\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_{n+h}(T; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_{n+h}(Y; \mathbb{Z})$$

(recall that in the compact case Borel-Moore and singular homology agree [Fu2, p. 217]). Since $H_{n+h}(T; \mathbb{Z})$ is freely generated by the components of T [Fu2, p. 219, Lemma 4], it suffices to prove $H^{BM}_{n+h+1}(Y\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. To this purpose first observe that $H^{BM}_{n+h+1}(Y\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{n+h-1}(Y\backslash T; \mathbb{Z})$ because $Y\backslash T$ is smooth [Fu2, p. 217]. Moreover by Lefschetz Theorem with Singularities [GMP, p. 199], we know that $H^{n+h-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{n+h-1}(Y\backslash T; \mathbb{Z})$. It remains to check that $H^{n+h-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. This follows considering the exact sequence

$$H_{n+h+3}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{BM}_{n+h+3}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_{n+h+2}(T; \mathbb{Z}),$$

and observing that $H_{n+h+3}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ($n+h+3$ is odd), as before one has $H^{n+h-1}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}\backslash T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{BM}_{n+h+3}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}\backslash T; \mathbb{Z})$, and $H_{n+h+2}(T; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ because $n+h+2 > 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T$ [Fu2, p. 219, Lemma 4].

3) It is enough to prove that $H_{2d}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2d}(\mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}; \mathbb{Z})$ via push-forward. This follows again by Lefschetz Theorem with Singularities [GMP, p. 199], because X is a complete intersection of dimension $n \geq 2d+1$.

□

Here is an application of our main result Theorem 1.

Corollary 23. Let $X \subset Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+h+1}$ be as above, with $s = \frac{n+h}{2} - 1$. Then $Z \cap X$ is homologous to zero in X, it is not algebraically zero, and $\dim X_{\mathrm{sing}} = d-1$.

References

[Ce] G. Ceresa, *C is not algebraically equivalent to C^- in its Jacobian*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117 (2)** (1983), 285-291.

[CC] G. Ceresa and A. Collino, *Some remarks on algebraic equivalence of cycles*, Pacific J. Math. **105 (2)** (1983), 285-290.

[C] C. Ciliberto, *Superficie algebriche complesse: idee e metodi della classificazione*, Atti del convegno di Geometria Algebrica, Genova-Nervi, 12- 17 aprile (1984).

[DF1] V. Di Gennaro and D. Franco, *Monodromy of a family of hypersurfaces*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **42** (2009), 517-529.

[DF2] V. Di Gennaro and D. Franco, *Factoriality and Néron-Severi groups*, Commun. Contemp. Math **10** (2008), 745-764.

[DF3] V. Di Gennaro and D. Franco, *Noether-Lefschetz Theory and Néron-Severi group*, Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250004 (12 pages).

[DFM] V. Di Gennaro, D. Franco and G. Marini, *A Griffiths' Theorem for Varieties with Isolated Singularities*, Bollettino U.M.I. **(9) V** (2012), 159-172.

[E] E.J. Elizondo, *Chow varieties, the Euler-Chow series and the total coordinate ring*, Trascendental Aspects of Algebraic Cycles, Proceedings of the Grenoble Summer School, 2001, Edited by S. Müller-Stach and C. Peters, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[Fr] E. Friedlander, *Algebraic cycles, Chow varieties, and Lawson homology*, Comp. Math. **77** (1991), 55-93.

[Fu] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzg. **2**, Springer, 1984.

[Fu2] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 35, Cambridge University Press, 1977.

[GMP] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory*, Springer Ergebnisse b. 14, Springer, 1988.

[Gre] M. Green, *Infinitesimal methods in Hodge theory*, Lectures given at the Second C.I.M.E. Session held in Torino, June 21-29, 1993. Edited by A. Albano and F. Bardelli, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin **1594** (1994).

[G] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals, I, II*, Ann. of Math. **90** (1969), 460-541.

[H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. **52**, Springer, 1977.

[KA] S. Kleiman and A. Altman, *Bertini theorems for hypersurface sections containing a subscheme*, Comm. Algebra **7** (1979), 775-790.

[K] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on Algebraic Varieties*, Springer Ergebnisse b. 32, Springer, 1996.

[LF] P. Lima-Filho, *Topological properties of the algebraic cycles functor*, Trascendental Aspects of Algebraic Cycles, Proceedings of the Grenoble Summer School, 2001, Edited by S. Müller-Stach and C. Peters, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[Mo] B. G. Moishezon, *Algebraic Homology Classes on Algebraic Varieties*, Math. USSR-Izvestija **1 (2)** (1967).

[Mu] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton University Press, 1966.

[N] M. Nori, *Algebraic cycles and Hodge theoretic connectivity*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 349-373.

[OS] A. Otwinowska and M. Saito, *Monodromy of a family of hypersurfaces containing a given subvariety*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **38** (2005), 365-386.

[PK] C. Peters and S. Kosarew, *Introduction to Lawson homology*, Trascendental Aspects of Algebraic Cycles, Proceedings of the Grenoble Summer School, 2001, Edited by S. Müller-Stach and C. Peters, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[P] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes tracées sur les surfaces slgébrique*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **27** (1910), 55-108.

[Ser] E. Sernesi, *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, Springer Grundlehren 334, Springer, 2006.

[S] F. Severi, *Il Teorema di Riemann-Roch per curve, superficie e varieta', questioni collegate*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer grenzgebiete, Springer-Verlag, 1958.

[V] C. Voisin, *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 77, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[V2] C. Voisin, *Chow Rings, Decomposition of the Diagonal, and the Topology of Families*, Annals of Mathematical Studies 187, Princeton University Press, 2014.

Author's addresses:

Vincenzo Di Gennaro, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Dipartimento di Matematica, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italia. E-mail: digennar@axp.mat.uniroma2.it

Davide Franco, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", P.le Tecchio 80, 80125 Napoli, Italia. E-mail: davide.franco@unina.it

Giambattista Marini, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Dipartimento di Matematica, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italia. E-mail: marini@axp.mat.uniroma2.it